Intertidal Bivalve Diversity and Fishery of the Gosthani Estuary, Bhimunipatnam, Visakhapatnam, East Coast of India

K. Rama Rao [a*], P. Jaya [a], T. Ratna Priya [a], T. Sandhya [a], N. Amruthavarshini [a], G. Sai Chaitanya [a] and K. Jhansi [a]

[a] *Department of Zoology/ Fisheries, Dr. V. S. Krishna Govt. Degree & PG College (A), Visakhapatnam, Affiliated to Andhra University, Andhra Pradesh, India.*

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Original Research Article

ABSTRACT

The results of the present investigation revealed that the occurrence of 13 species belonging to class bivalvia were dominant taxa in the Gosthani estuary. Out of 13 species 6 orders, 8 families, and 11 genera were observed from April 2023 to March 2024. The samples were obtained by handpicking, digging, and trawling with bare feet by fisher community. A field survey was conducted to collect data on mollusc species, stocks, distribution, and marketing. The bivalves were collected from the bycatch for identification, mostly based on shell morphology, hinge, interlocking dentition, etc., with reference to the standard literature In this present study data was collected and analysed from fishermen community and traders. The *Crassostrea madrasensis* was more dominant

_____

*Corresponding author: Email: drkarriramarao@gmail.com;

availability species, its contributes to highest collection 5.867 T/ year and 2.78T in Apr-23, followed by *Meretrix casta* 2.78 T *Meretrix meretrix* 1.18 T, *Anadara rhombea 0.87, Tegillarca granosa* 0.759 and followed by other species. According to IUCN status 13 species contributed to 53.84% are not evaluated (NE), followed by data deficient (DD) with 30.76%, and least concerned 15.38%. The monthly available bivalves at Gosthani estuary the data was comparison for abundance. The difference between the sample averages of all groups is not big enough to be statistically significant. The results of ANOVA for p-value of 0.97 indicate significant support for H0. The p-value equals to 0.231 indicates a greater support for H0.

1. INTRODUCTION

Bivalvia are entirely aquatic animals and the second most speciose class in the varied phylum Mollusca. Molluscs in this class are soft, unsegmented, bilaterally symmetrical, and laterally compressed, with calcified valves on the left and right sides joined by hinge, ligament, and adductor muscles [1,2]. Clams, mussels, and oysters are among the bivalves found across India's coastlines. The local population harvests clams and oysters for home consumption, forming a subsistence fishery. The shallow seas near Indonesia have the greatest diversity of world bivalves; the Indo-Pacific area is the richest province of bivalve diversity and distribution, with 3,300 bivalve species reported [3]. Studies on marine bivalves, including their distribution, abundance, and diversity in different coastal environments, contribute in the discovery of new shellfish farming places as well as the detection of anthropogenic activities that cause ecosystems vulnerable to deteriorate. The shallow-water bivalve fauna of littoral and continental coasts is described as resilient and diversified [4]. Molluscs remain a major fisheries resource along India's coastlines. These are necessary for nature's nourishment and are employed in food applications such as ornamentation, chicken and fish feed, the lime and pharmaceutical industries, and so on [5]. Local fishing populations rely on these molluscs for a living, with bivalves in particular being consumed by humans. They are high in protein, minerals, and glycogen and are easier to digest than other animal meals [6]. In 1996, shellfish landings were around 11.4 million metric tons. Aquaculture involved 62 nations globally, compared to 114 in fisheries, resulting in 8.5 million metric tons of production (FAO statistics, 1996). Several studies have been conducted on the topography of the north Andhra Coast, which is distinguished by vast stretches of sandy shores, tidal creeks, and backwaters interspersed between sea cliffs and shingle-strewn beaches, providing a diverse ecological habitat for bivalves and other marine fauna. However, the north of coastal Andhra Pradesh remains undiscovered in terms of bivalve mollusk variety and distribution, particularly in protected environments like as estuaries, backwaters, and creeks.

Productivity range, shelf area, and salinity emerge as best predictors of the species richness. All environmental factors, taken collectively, properly explain species composition across latitudinal bins [7]. During a strong demand for shellfish, boats (Katlatheppa) with 2-3 persons were used for fishing. Women and young children's are hand-picked clams from the inshore waters. As a result, there is not an existing study that compiles current information on molluscan diversity in the Gosthani estuary. As a result, the current study aims to investigate the richness of molluscan fishery in uncharted backwaters and the study gives baseline information on malacofauna, which can help researchers conduct additional studies on molluscs and manage resources sustainably in the Gosthani estuary.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The shoreline runs along the Gosthani estuary from Bheemunipatnam to Tagarapuvalasa, and particular sampling locations have been selected. Current study involves identification and quantification of bivalves in the study area. The samples were obtained from April 2023 to March 2024 by handpicking, digging, and trawling with bare feet by fisher community. Clams and oysters are often obtained by wading in estuarine shallow areas, mud flats, tidal flats, tidal creeks and channels, where the nets may be easily operated and the catch cleaned. Hammers were used to separate cemented species such as oysters, while burrowing animals were dug to remove muscular meat (Fig 1). Seasonal monsoons, strong cyclonic storms, excessive

rainfall, and river run-off all influence the number of samples collected. All bivalve specimens were segregated from the collected molluscan sample, cleaned, and sun-dried. The individual number was calculated by counting the articulated valves while accounting for the disarticulated valves. The height (maximum dorsal-ventral axis) and length (maximum anterior-posterior axis) of each shell were recorded [8]. The statistical analysis of the one-way ANOVA calculator incorporates the Tukey HSD test. Calculates the impact magnitude and verifies the assumptions (normality, equality of variance test). A field survey was conducted to collect data on mollusc species, stocks, distribution, and marketing. The bivalves were collected from the bycatch for identification, mostly based on shell morphology, hinge, interlocking dentition, etc., with reference to the standard literature available [9,10,11, 12, 13, 14, 15], (Gravely, 1941; Satyamurti, 1956; Apte, 2012; Huber, 2010), with the assistance of world.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the present investigation revealed that the occurrence of 13 species belonging to class bivalvia were dominant taxa in the Gosthani estuary. Out of 13 species 6 orders, 8 families, and 11 genera were observed from April 2023 to March 2024. In the current study, a list of taxa were compiled, including their order, family, genus, species, habitat, economic use and IUCN status were shown in Table 1 & Plate 1. Among the 6 orders, the order Cardiida was observed to have the highest contribution to the species diversity (37.5% families, 45.45% genera and 38.46% species), followed by Arcida (12.5% families, 18.18% genera and 23.07% species), Veneroida (12.5% families, 9.09% genera and 15.38% species), the lowest was observed

Ostreoida, Mytiloida and Nuculida (12.5% families, 9.09% genera and 7.69% species) in the Gosthani estuary (Table 2, Fig. 2). The similar study was reported by Arathi et al., [16] observed 200 marine bivalve species classified into three subclasses, 13 orders, 42 families, and 111 genera. Among the recorded bivalves, 30 are new from India and 26 are new to the West Coast. Darwin and Padmavathi [17] found seven bivalves from five families in the Bay of Bengal, Prakasam district, Andhra Pradesh. According to Rajendar Kumar [18], 10 gastropod species and 5 bivalves were found in the Coringa mangroves of the Godavari estuary habitat. Banerjee et al., [19] found 17 dominating species in the molluscan ecosystems, divided into two major classes: Bivalvia and Gastropoda are macro-benthic molluscan diversity in the estuaries of the eastern coast of the Indian subcontinent. The study focused on intertidal mudflats in four Indian maritime states: West Bengal, Odisha, Andhra Pradesh, and Puducherry. Sandhya Leeda et al., [20] found 15 species of bivalves in intertidal environments along the Karnataka coast, and their abundance of molluscs was noticed in the post-monsoon season. According to Rajendra and Sivaperuman [21], 17 species of bivalves were recorded. Gastropods found in a variety of substrates, including rocks, mud, and sand, but most bivalves live in soft substratum and burrow on coral and rocks in India's North Andaman Island. Several workers contributed to increase the diversity of mollusks in Andhra Pradesh. Recent research on molluscan diversity in Andhra Pradesh's east coast merits special attention. Six gastropod species and three bivalves have been found in the Nuvvalarevu backwaters of Srikakulam district [22]. Hitesh [23] studied the diversity and distribution of gastropods of intertidal region of northern gulf of Kachchh, Gujarat, India.

Anadora ineqivalvis

Anadara rhombea

Tegillarca granosa

Trachycardium flavum


Alaona ala

Serratina pristis

Donax cuneatus

Tellina iris

Meretrix casta

Perna viridis

Nucula convexa

Meretrix meretrix

Crassostrea madrasensis (Dorsal and Ventral)

**Plate 1. Bivalve fishery at Gosthani estuary**

Table 1. Economic importance molluscans diversity at Gosthani estuary

S.no	Taxa	Common name	Class	Order	Family	Hábitat	Economice use	IUCN status
1	*Anadara inaequivalvis (Bruguière, 1789)*	unequal arc	Bivalvia	Arcida	Arcidae	Estuaries, ,mudflats, Sandy or muddy bottoms.	Aqua culture and fisheries, food source, Tradition medicine, ormentation	NE
2	*Anadara rhombea (Born, 1778)*	Diamond cockle	Bivalvia	Arcida	Arcidae	Estuaries, and coastal water, Sandy and muddy substrate.	Export trade, livelihood, food source, commercial fisheries	DD
3	*Tegillarca granosa (Linnaeus, 1758)*	Blood cockle	Bivalvia	Arcida	Arcidae	Brackish, ,mud flats, Sandy substrate, Near shore coastal water.	Export trade, livelihood, food source, commercial fisheries	LC
4	*Trachycardium flavum (Linnaeus, 1758)*	Fan-shaped cockle	Bivalvia	Cardiida	Cardiidae	Substrate, Intertidal zones, coastal water, Tropical and subtropical.	Commercial fisheries, livelihood, Export trade, Aquaculture potential.	DD
5	*Donax cuneatus (Linnaeus, 1758)*	Cuneate Donax	Bivalvia	Cardiida	Donacidae	Sandy beaches, coastal areas, intertidal zones, warm water.	Recreational harvesting, local consumption, shellcraft and souvenirs.	NE
6	*Alaona ala (Hanley, 1845)*	Wing shell	Bivalvia	Cardiida	Tellinidae	Intertidal,cold water, Nearshore Environment.	Commercial fisheries,local substience.	NE
7	*Serratina pristis (Lamarck, 1818)*	Smooth shell	Bivalvia	Cardiida	Tellinidae	Sandy, intertidal zones, coastal.	Culinary use,Bai, Harvesting.	DD
8	*Tellina iris (Say, 1822)*	Rainbow tellin	Bivalvia	Cardiida	Tellinidae	Sandy or mud, intertidal, shallow coastal water, Tropical.	Commercial fisheries, Aquaculture potential, Scientific and Education.	NE
9	*Crassostrea madrasensis (Preston, 1916)*	Indian oyster	Bivalvia	Ostreoida	Ostreidae	Estuarine, Brack water, muddy or Sandy tropical intertidal.	Pearl production, livelihoods, Aquaculture, commercial fisheries.	DD
10	*Perna viridis (Linnaeus, 1758)*	Asian green mussel	Bivalvia	Mytiloida	Mitilidae	Intertidal,warm coastal water.	Commercial fisheries, Aquaculture,Biofiltration.	LC
11.	*Nucula convexa (Sowerby, 1833)*	Convex nut clam	Bivalvia	Nuculida	Nucilidae	Substrate,, Intertidal coastal, shallow,cool to temperate water.	Nut clam, commercial purpose.	NE
12	*Meretrix meretrix (Linnaeus, 1758)*	Asian hard clam	Bivalvia	Veneroida	Veneridae	Estuaries and coastal water,Sandy and muddy substrate.	Export trade, livelihood , food source, commercial fisheries	NE
13	*Meretrix casta (Chemnitz, 1782)*	Backwater hard clam	Bivalvia	Veneroida	Veneridae	Tropical and subtropical region, estuaries ,Sandy and muddy.	Export trade, livelihood , food source, commercial fisheries,	NE

LC = Least Concerned; NE = Not Evaluated; DD= Data Deficient

Table 2. Percentage of Taxa diversity in class Bivalvia

| Order	Family	Genus	Species
Arcida	12.5	18.18	23.07
Cardiida	37.5	45.45	38.46
Ostreoida	12.5	9.09	7.69
Mytiloida	12.5	9.09	7.69
Nuculida	12.5	9.09	7.69
Veneroida	12.5	9.09	15.38

Fig. 2. Diversity of taxa

In this present study data was collected and analysed from fishermen community and traders are the *Crassostrea madrasensis* was more dominant availability species, its contributes to highest collection 5.867 T/ year and 2.78T in Apr-23, followed by *Meretrix casta* 2.78 T *Meretrix meretrix* 1.18 T, *Anadara rhombea 0.87, Tegillarca granosa* 0.759 and followed by other species (Table 3 Fig. 4). From February 2023 to March 2024, the total wet weight of bivalves was 11.76 T, the dry shell weight was 10.37 T, and the muscle weight was 0.822 T (Table 3, Fig. 3). Bivalve harvesting is more conducive to the post-monsoon season, which lasts from November to May. Men and women collect bivalves from shallow locations and mudflats, whilst men harvest from deeper places. Deeper portions of the estuary have a higher concentration of bivalves than shallow regions and mudflats.

Laxmilatha et al., [23] assessed the bivalve fishing in the Bhimili Estuary from 2003 to 2010, finding that the overall bivalve output from 2003 to 2010 was 4.7 t, with an average annual production of 0.59 t. *M. meretrix* was the dominant clam species at the time, and overall output was 0.53 t, with an average annual landing of 0.07 t. The total clam output during the time was 2.71 t, with an average yearly production of 0.34 t. The whole effort was 80983 units, with an average catch per unit effort of 58.1 kg. The major clam species was *M. meretrix*. During the research period, total bivalves production was more documents to compare the previous decade. Sujitha Thomas et al., [24] stated that the average annual catch in the Dharmadom estuary's bivalve fishery from 2000 to 2002 was 2.49 t. The peak fishing season occurred in October*. P. Malabarica.*

Table 3. Monthly *bivalve shell* collection and quantification (wet weight/kgs) at Gosthani estuary

S. no	species	Wet weight (kgs)	Shell dry weight (kgs)	Muscle weight (kgs)
1	*Anadara inaequivalvis*	130	105.3	11.7
2	*Anadara rhombea*	787	654.6	86.5
3	*Tegillarca granosa*	759	636.2	90.8
4	*Trachycardium flavum*	16	12.3	1.7
5	*Donax cuneatus*	22	18.68	1.32
6	*Alaona ala*	10	6.3	1.7
7	*Serratina pristis*	23	18.7	2.3
8	*Tellina iris*	13	10.24	0.76
9	*Crassostrea madrasensis*	5866	5179.4	562.6
10	*Perna viridis*	121	76.8	31.8
11	*Nucula convexa*	65	48	5.2
12	*Meretrix meretrix*	1179	985.52	24.24
13	*Meretrix casta*	2784	1049.31	1.8
	Total	11762	10369.35	822.42

Fig. 3. Monthly bivalves quantification (kgs)

According to IUCN status 13 species contributed to 53.84% are not evaluated (NE), followed by data deficient (DD) with 30.76%, and least concerned 15.38% (Fig. 5). The monthly available bivalves at Gosthani estuary the data was comparison for abundance. The results of ANOVA for p-value of 0.9746 indicate significant support for H0, which is accepted. The difference between the sample averages of all groups, is too small to be statistically significant at the 0.05 level. The test statistic F is 0.342, which is within the 95% range of acceptability. There is no significant difference in the means of any combination. The measured effect size is small (0.16). This suggests that that the magnitude of the difference between the averages is minimal. η2 equals 0.025. This suggests that the group accounts for 2.5% of the deviation from the average (Fig. 6). The difference between the sample averages of all groups is not big enough to be statistically significant. The p-value equals 0.231 indicates a greater support for H0. The test statistic F equals 1.526, which is in the 95% region of acceptance. The observed effect size f is medium (0.29). That indicates that the magnitude of the difference between the averages is medium. The η2 equals 0.078. It means that the group explains 7.8% of the variance from the average (Table 3, Fig. 7). Laxmilatha et al., [25, 26, 27, 28] recorded the overall production during the time was 0.53 t, with an average yearly landing of 0.07 t. Gastropod and bivalve fishery of Kakinada, south west coast and Moorad Estuary, north Kerala was represented various quantities of shell and muscle meat. *A. rhombea* was landed in small numbers of 0.04 t. The edible oyster *C. madrasensis* landed throughout the time weighed 1.99 tonnes, with an average yearly yield of 0.25 tonnes (Table 1). From 2003 to 2010, overall

bivalve output in the Bhimili Estuary increased in both capture and effort, reaching 2005. The fisheries thereafter declined, falling by 83.3% in 2007. In 2008, the fisheries improved and rose greatly over the previous year, but then dropped. According to Pramod and Chakravarty [29], 35 species of bivalve molluscs have been found in the shallow, exposed, and sheltered habitats of estuaries, creeks, and backwaters near the coastlines along the north-east Andhra coast. The fauna is diverse in terms of sediment type and habitat slope, and its distribution is typically irregular. Six bivalves (*Anadora granosa, Crassostrea cuttackensis, Dendrostrea folium, Donax cuneatus, Meretrixmeretrix,*and *M. casta*) are researched in intertidal regions of

marine and brackish water environments. They are found in greater abundance in protected brackish water tidal flats and streams than in surf-exposed marine environments. Human activities pose a danger to bivalve populations. Boominathan et al., [30], Economic valuation of bivalves in the aghanashini estuary. Season-wise estimated quantity of bivalves harvested per day is listed is 11.17% more during November to May. Aghanashini and Divgi village people alone contribute 67% of the bivalve harvested per day. In the present investigation basing on the statistical analysis of one way ANOVA the bivalve catch is significant in the Gosthani estuary.


Fig. 4. Shell fishery quantification

Fig. 5. IUCN status

Fig. 6. ANOVA analysis for monthly collected 13 species bivalves wet weight at Gosthani estuary

Fig. 7. ANOVA analysis for wet shells, dry shells and muscle weight from April 2023 to March 2024

4. CONCLUSION

Bivalve harvesting is more appropriate to the pre-monsoon season, which extends from November to May. males and women pick bivalves from shallow areas and mudflats, whereas males harvest from deeper areas. Bivalves are more abundant in deeper parts of the estuary than in shallow sections and mudflats. In 2010, the fisheries saw another substantial decrease. The present study found that the bivalve mollescan fishery has grown significantly. According to the findings, estuarine molluscs are large macrobenthic animals that play an important role in the freshwater ecosystem's food chain. Molluscs are extremely important because they provide food for many aquatic birds and estuarine inhabitants. It is critical to protect and conserve the diversity of freshwater molluscs in any particular aquatic body. More information is needed to compile a database of molluscan diversity from brackish water sources.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The authors confirm that the data used to support the findings of this study are available within the article.

Vijaya Babu, Dr. V. S. Krishna Govt. Degree & PG College (A), Visakhapatnam for providing necessary facilities to carry out this work in the Department of Zoology & Fisheries.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Poutiers JM, Bivalves (Acephala, Lamellibranchiata, Pelecypoda). In FAO SpeciesIdentification Guide for Fishery Purposes, The living Marine Resources of the WesternCentral Pacific (eds Carpenter, K. E., Niem, V. H.), - Seaweeds, Corals, Bivalves and Gastropods, 1998;1:124-362.
2. Leal JH, Bivalves. In: FAO species identification guide for fishery purposes, The living marine resources of the western central pacific (ed. Carpenter K. E.), Introduction, Molluscs, Crustaceans, Hagfishes, Sharks, Batoid fishes and Chimaeras. 2002;1:26- 98.
3. Huber M, Compendium of Bivalves 2, Conch Books, Hackenheim. 2015;907.
4. Parulekar AH, Ansari ZA, Ingole BS. Effect of mining activities on the clam fisheries and bottom fauna of Goa estuaries, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci (Animal Sci). 1986;95: 325-339.
5. Jaiswar AK, Kulkarni BG. Conservation of molluscan biodiversity from intertidal area of Mumbai coast. J. Natcon. 2005;17(1): 93-105.
6. Manotosh Das. Edible marine molluscan fauna found at Digha coast, West Bengal, India. Int. Res. J Biological Sci. 2017;6(3): 26-41.
7. Devapriya Chattopadhyay, Deepjay Sarkar, Madhura Bhattacherjee. The distribution pattern of marine bivalve death assemblage from the western margin of bay of bengal and its oceanographic determinants. Marine Evolutionary Biology, Biogeography and Species Diversity. 2021;8.
   Available:https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2021.675344.
8. Sandra Gordillo, Fernando Archuby. Live-Live and Live-Dead Interactions in Marine Death Assemblages: The Case of the Patagonian Clam Venus antiqua. Acta Palaeontologica Polonica. 2014;59(2):429-442.
9. Hornell J. The study of Indian molluscs. J Bombay Nat Hist Soc. 1949;48:303–337, 543–569, 750–774.
10. Apte D. The book of indian shells. Bombay Natural History Society. Mumbai, 1998; 115.
11. Vannucci M. Indo-west Pacific mangroves, In Lacerda L.D. (Eds.) Mangrove ecosystems, (Springer, Berlin). 2002;22–215.
12. CIESM.
    Available: https://www.ciesm.org
13. CMFRI Repository.
    Available: http://eprints.cmfri.org.in.
14. India Biodiversity Portal.
    Available: https://indiabiodiversity.org
15. Ocean Biodiversity Information System,
    Available: https://obis.org
16. Sea Life Base.
    Available: https://www.sealifebase
17. Arathi R, Ravinesh R, Biju Kumar A. Diversity of bivalve molluscs along the west coast of India. 2017; Kerala State Biodiversity Board, Thiruvananthapuram; 2017.
18. Darwin Ch, Padmavathi P. Diversity of malacofauna from the paleru and moosy backwaters of Prakasam district, Andhra Pradesh, India. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies 2017;5(4):881-887.
19. Rajendar Kumar R. Distribution of molluscan fauna in Coringa estuarine mangroves, South East Coast of India. Biolife. 2016;4(2):261-264.
20. Banerjee KS, Chakraborty R, Paul G, Bal S, Zaman P, Pramanick G, Amin P, Fazli A, Mitra. Macrobenthic molluscan diversity in the major intertidal zones of the maritime states of India, J. Env. Sci. Pollut. Res. 2015;1(1):8–11.
21. Sandhya Leeda D'Souza, Neevan D'Souza, Bhasker Shenoy K. Molluscan diversity of coastal Karnataka, India and roleof physicochemical parameters on their diversity. Journal of Coastal Conservation. 2022;26:2:1-15.
22. Rajendra S, Sivaperuman C. Distribution of Intertidal Molluscs (Gastropoda, Bivalvia) from selected sites of North Andaman Island, India. Journal of the Andaman Association. 2020;94-111.
23. Chakravarty MS, Uday Ranjan TJ. A Check List of Malacofauna from the Nuvvalarevu Backwaters of Srikakulam District, Andhra Pradesh, India.

International Journal of Research in Marine Sciences. 2014;3(1):11- 15.

24. Hitesh K, Kardani PC, Mankodi GA, Thivakaran. Diversity and distribution of gastropods of intertidal region of northern gulf of Kachchh, Gujarat, India. Ecology, Environment and Conservation. 2014; 20(1):105-110.

25. Laxmilatha P, Rao GS, Prasada Rao M. Bivalve fishery of Bhimili Estuary, Visakhapatnam, Andhra Pradesh. Marine Fisheries Information Service T&E Ser., Regional Centre of CMFRI, Visakhapatnam. 2011;209:1-2.

26. Sujitha Thomas P. Laxmilatha M, Nasser V, Surendranath G, Ramachandran NP, Sivadasan MP. Fishery and biology of *Paphia malabarica* from Dharmadom estuary, north Kerala Indian J. Fish., 2003; 50(4):519-523.

27. Laxmilatha P. Review of the Green Mussel *Perna viridis* Fishery of South West Coast of India. Indian Journal of Marine Sciences. 2013;3(48):408-416.

28. Laxmilatha P. Gastropod and bivalve fishery of Kakinada Bay, Andhra Pradesh, India: Management and conservation issues. Aquaculture Asia. 2015;20(4):21-26.

29. Laxmilatha P, Thomas Sujitha, Surendranath VG, Sivadasan MP, Ramachandran NP. Bivalve resources of Moorad Estuary, north Kerala. Marine Fisheries Information Service. 2011;(207): 24-25.

30. Pramod G, Chakravarty MS. Distribution of *Bivalve* molluscs in the exposed and sheltered habitats along the north Andhra coast, Bay of Bengal. Proc. of AP Akademi of Sciences. 2005;9(3):273-280.

31. Boominathan M, Subash Chandran MD, Ramachandra TV. Economic valuation of bivalves in the Aghanashini Estuary, West Coast, Karnataka, Sahyadri Conservation Series. 2008;9:1-33

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
https://prh.mbimph.com/review-history/3506