Asian Journal of Research and Reviews in Physics



5(4): 11-20, 2021; Article no.AJR2P.83959 ISSN: 2582-5992

Important Atmospheric Parameters over a Indian Tropical Station Using Various Remote Sensing Instruments and a Model

S. Sreedevi^a, P. S. Brahmanandam^{b*}, K. Tarakeswara Rao^a, V. Naveen Kumar^c, G. Anil Kumar^d, K. Samatha^a and M. P. Rao^a

^a Department of Physics, Andhra University, Visakhapatnam- 530017, India. ^b Department of Physics, Shri Vishnu Engineering College for Women (A), Bhimavaram-534202, India.

^c Department of Physics, Gudlavalleru Engineering College, Gudlavalleru-521356, India. ^d School of Renewable Energy and Environment, JNTUK, Kakinada - 533003, Andhra Pradesh, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AJR2P/2021/v5i430169

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/83959

Original Research Article

Received 29 October 2021 Accepted 27 December 2021 Published 29 December 2021

ABSTRACT

For the periods 01 July, 02 July, and 03 July 2018, important atmospheric parameters such as temperature, relative humidity, pressure, wind direction, and wind speed have been calculated over a tropical Indian station Gadanki (13.5°N, 79.2°E). Atmospheric Boundary Layer height (ABLH) was estimated using various analytical methods such as, vertical gradient, double gradient, and logarithmic gradient, and the results are compared with the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) ABLH data. With the COSMIC Radio Occultation (RO) technique and a regular balloon-borne radiosonde, tropopause heights and their corresponding temperatures were determined using minimum temperature criteria. Gradient and double gradient methods were more successful at capturing ABLHs than the logarithmic gradient method.

Keywords: High-resolution GPS radiosonde; radio occultation technique; tropopause; atmospheric boundary layer height; analytical methods.

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: dranandpotula@svecw.edu.in;

1. INTRODUCTION

Temperature (T), Relative Humidity (RH), Pressure (p), and horizontal winds (both zonal and meridional components) are all important atmospheric parameters, which are used to forecast the weather around the world. Their vertical profiles are also used in studying the thermal and dynamical state of the atmosphere, as well as many other important parameters, such as boundary layer height and tropopause height, and its associated temperature. Secondly, ABL heights have a significant impact on air pollution. ABLH is the height above the surface at which air pollutants emitted from or on the surface are diluted by convection or mechanical turbulence within a timescale of about 1 h or less [1]. ABL height can be measured using many different remote sensing instruments, а radiosonde is typically the best suited to measuring ABL height [2], but ABL height measurements are limited to radiosondes' launch times, which are typically 2-4 times per day. ABLH is also measured using LIDAR [3], wind profilers [4], sodar [5], and ceilometers [6], as well as other remote sensing instruments.

GPS RO products offer unparalleled vertical resolution, global coverage, all-weather capability, and high accuracy. While the earth's atmosphere has been observed with RO techniques such as monosatellite GPS/MET [6], CHAllenging Mini satellite Pavload and Satellite [7], de Aplicaciones Cientificas-C [8], due to their relatively sparse sampling only seasonal or multiyear phenomenon of equatorial waves could be studied. The launch of six COSMIC (Constellation Observing System for Meteorology, Ionosphere and Climate) satellites provides an order of magnitude increase in the number of GPS-RO profiles available [9]. The COSMIC constellation will provide a much more detailed analysis of wave structures with higher wavenumbers in the lower atmosphere, as they will produce a database that is 12 times larger than the earlier RO missions, with an average of 1500-2000 profiles available every day around the globe [10-11].

It is, therefore, expected that the COSMIC constellation will provide a much more detailed analysis of wave structures with higher wavenumbers in the lower atmosphere [12]. The COSMIC GPS RO technique has already yielded some of the world's most notable atmospheric results in troposphere, stratosphere, and mesosphere and ionosphere altitudes, including

large-scale Kelvin waves from temperature profiles [13], coupling between lower and upper ionospheres [14], sporadic E- layer observations [15], global measurements and comparisons of various ionosphere parameters [16], global ionosphere scintillation index (S4) measurements [17], comparisons of regional ionosphere irregularities between COSMIC RO technique and IRI model [18], and ionosphere response to a great American solar eclipse [19].

This study employs a high-resolution GPS radiosonde, a conventional radiosonde, the COSMIC RO technique, and ECMWF data to analyze various important atmospheric conditions over an Indian tropical station (Gadanki). Other analytical methods were used to determine ABL height, and ECMWF data was used to show regional variations in ABL heights.

The organization of this article is as follows: Section 2 contains data analysis methodology. In section 3 we present results and discussion, under which high-resolution GPS radiosonde measurements are presented in section 3.1. Section 3.2 contains temperature and pressure profiles as measured by co-located radiosonde and COSMIC RO techniques. Section 3.3 discusses various analytical methods to determine ABL heights. Conclusions are presented in section 4.

2. DATA ANALYSIS AND METHODOLOGY

The National Atmospheric Research Laboratory (NARL) in Gadanki, India has provided a highresolution GPS radiosonde that we downloaded from its website (www.narl.gov.in) and used a filter to filter out data outliers for this study. COSMIC RO and nearby radiosonde data on the other hand were archived from the COSMIC Data Analysis and Archive Centre (CDAAC, http://cdaac.cosmic.ucar.edu/cdaac/index.html).

Because of the 250 km and 2 hour spatial and temporal differences between COSMIC and radiosonde locations, such profiles were omitted in the analysis if they were not met. The minimum temperature criteria were used to identify tropopause, while the gradient method was used to identify ABL height, with ABL height determined by the presence of gradients of temperature and humidity profiles. The double gradient method, on the other hand, estimates ABL height by finding the second derivative of the potential profile. When small gradients exist in the boundary layer, the double gradient is an effective method. According to the logarithmic formula, ABL height is the elevation at which the minimum of the logarithm of the first gradient of potential temperature is found.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 High-resolution GPS Radiosonde Measurements

Each file of downloaded data contains vertical profiles of temperature (T), humidity (%), pressure (p), wind speed (ws), and wind direction (wd). The above data were measured by NARL between 17:35 and 18:55 local time (LT;

UT+0530 hrs) in line with the timings of radiosonde that were sent two times a day at various places of the world.

Various panels of Figs. 1a-1c, show vertical profiles of temperature (°C), humidity $(g.m^{-3})$, pressure (hPa), wind speed (m/s), and wind direction (deg). It is obvious from these Figures that the balloon could reach around 20 km, 23 km, and 30 km respectively on 01, 02, and 03 July 2018. It is obvious that the temperature profile shows almost a near inverted Gaussian-shape response with minimum values (indication of tropopause) between 16.5 km and 17.5 km.



Fig. 1a. Vertical profiles of temperature (extreme left panel), humidity (second panel from left), pressure (center panel), wind speed (next to center panel) and wind direction (extreme right panel) at 1730 LT over Gadanki on 01 July 2018.



Fig. 1b. Vertical profiles of temperature (extreme left panel), humidity (second panel from left), pressure (center panel), wind speed (next to center panel) and wind direction (extreme right panel) at 1730 LT over Gadanki on 02 July 2018



Fig. 1c. Vertical profiles of temperature (extreme left panel), humidity (second panel from left), pressure (center panel), wind speed (next to center panel) and wind direction (extreme right panel) at 1730 LT over Gadanki on 03 July 2018

Table 1. Tropopause height (in km) and the corresponding temperature (in °C) measured usingvarious remote sensing instruments on 01, 02, and 03 July 2018

Date/ Instrument	01 .	01 July 2018 02 July 2018		ıly 2018	03 July 2018	
	Height (km)	Temp (°C)	Height (km)	Temp (°C)	Height (km)	Temp (°C)
GPS radiosonde	17.24	-79.4	16.59	-81.1	16.51	-80.1
COSMIC GPS RO	16	-76.86	17.3	-80.7	16.8	-78.65
Radiosonde	17.65	-79.25	16.98	-80.6	17.00	-77.25

We. therefore. carefully have tabulated tropopause height (in km) and its associated °C). temperatures (in Table 1 presents tropopause height measured by RO technique, conventional radiosonde, and GPS radiosonde. The vertical profiles of humidity show very fine structures above 5 km and 15 km altitudes, while pressure profiles show a consistent decrease with the increase of altitude, as expected.

On the other hand, wind speed and wind directions show different features, which include wind speed appearing to be extremely low below 10 km altitude and a guick look at wind direction shows that most of the winds originated from the north-west direction, particularly below 5 km altitude. According to Beaufort scale [20], these winds can be categorized as light or gentle breeze category. It is known that light breeze may create an amicable meteorological condition that leads to low- dispersion for air pollutants. However, above 10 km altitude, relatively higher winds (~20 m/s or even higher magnitudes above 15 km altitude) are found, whereas wind directions turned southeast directions during all three days.

3.2 Temperature and Pressure Profiles as Measured by Co-located RO Technique and Radiosonde

Fig. 2 left (right) panels depict temperature (pressure) profiles between 01 July and 03 July 2018 measured using nearby radiosonde and RO technique. It may be worth mentioning here that radiosonde measurements were taken 200 km and 02:00 hours away from the COSMIC RO satellite locations, which are spatial and temporal distances. Comparisons of temperature and pressure profiles between these two independent techniques reveal a good correspondence [21], however with few following exceptions. There is a slight difference in temperatures measured by these independent observations above the tropopause altitude in all three days. In addition. few differences in magnitudes of temperature are found below, at and near tropopause altitude, in similar lines with earlier studies [22-24].

For instance, collocated global atmospheric temperature profiles from radiosondes as well as from COSMIC GPS RO satellites were compared for April 2008 to October 2009 and it was found that in the troposphere the temperature standard

deviations errors were 0.35 K per 3 h and 0.42 K per 100 km [23]. Comparative studies between GPS RO retrieved temperature profiles from both CHAMP and COSMIC satellites with radiosonde data from 38 Australian radiosonde stations have shown a very good agreement between the two datasets [24]. Specifically, Zhang et al. [24] have found the mean temperature difference between radiosonde and CHAMP to be 0.39°C, while it was 0.37°C between radiosonde and COSMIC satellites. On the other hand, a cent percent consistency in magnitudes of pressure is found. It is, therefore, clear that temperature and pressure profiles show nearly good agreement between these measurements, thereby providing confidence in using COSMIC RO retrieved temperatures in the studies of atmospheric dynamics and tropopause long-term trends.

3.3 Determination of ABL Height

The atmospheric boundary layer, also known as the Planetary Boundary Layer (PBL), is defined as the lowest part of the atmosphere that is directly influenced by the motions and processes near the Earth's surface [25]. ABL is one of the important physical characteristics of landatmosphere communication. The formation and growth of ABL are related to surface fluxes such

as net radiation and sensible heat. ABL is also important in cloud formation, precipitation, and several other important feedbacks in the landatmosphere coupled system [26-27]. This is why most large-scale models have included some representation of the boundary layer processes to simulate a few important climate quantities such as surface winds, global cloudiness and precipitation, among others [28]. The local atmospheric boundary layer structure also plays an important role in the transport of lower atmospheric pollutants, and ABLH is one of the key factors affecting pollution concentration and large-scale transport [29]. ABLHs have been used as a key length scale in weather, climate, and air quality models to determine turbulence mixing, vertical diffusion, convective transport, cloud/aerosol entrainment, and atmospheric pollutants deposition [30-32]. In this study, we have adopted various analytical methods to compute ABL heights (ABLH) including, gradient, double gradient and logarithmic gradient methods. Figs. 3a-3c show various analytical methods and the calculated ABLH. Table 2 presents ABL heights derived from COSMIC ROmeasured data and measured over nearby locations of Gadanki, India using various analytical methods. Both gradient and double gradient have ABLH equals near equal.



Fig. 2. Vertical profiles of temperature (left side panels) and pressure (right side panels) measured by COSMIC radio occultation technique and radiosonde over nearby locations of Gadanki, India between 01 and 03 July 2018

Table 2. ABL height (ABLH in km) in different days of year 2018 measured over nearby						
locations of Gadanki, India by adopting various analytical methods on COSMIC RO measured						
data						

Date, month and year	Geographical Latitude & Longitude	Logarithmic gradient methe	Gradient od method	Double gradient method
01 July 2018	12.80° N	0.4	2.8	1.5
	78.20° E			
02 July 2018	11.88° N	1.5	3.0	2.0
	77.48° E			
03 July 2018	11.85° N	2.3	2.3	2.2
	77.45° E			



Fig. 3a. Computation of ABLH using various analytical methods over near-by regions of Gadanki on 01 July 2018



Fig. 3b. Computation of ABLH using various analytical methods over near-by regions of Gadanki on 02 July 2018



Fig. 3c. Computation of ABLH using various analytical methods over near-by regions of Gadanki on 03 July 2018



Fig. 4. Hourly (from 1630 to 1830 LT only) variation of ABL heights predicted by the ECMWF model over India and its surrounding areas on 01 (top panels), 02 (middle panels), and on 03 July 2018 (bottom panel)

In one of our earlier studies, we have presented global variations of ABLH by using various analytical methods, and it has been is reported that both gradient and logarithmic gradient methods are better at delineating ABL heights [33], but this study showed entirely different results. ABL height varies from as low as 100 m under stable conditions, and as high as 1500 m under convective conditions [34]. It is, therefore, envisaged that the atmospheric conditions over Gadanki between 01 and 03 come under convective nature. To verify whether similar magnitudes are associated with ABLH during the same time, we have presented regional trends of ABLH using ECMWF data in the following lines.

We have also presented regional (hourly-based) variations of ABLH in Fig. 4 from 1630 LT to 1830 LT between 01 and 03 July 2018. These data were downloaded from the European Union's ECMWF website. Note that blue (red) color of the color bar indicates lowest (highest) ABLH magnitudes. It is clear from these figures that land areas recorded the highest magnitudes (greater than ~300 m and beyond magnitudes), whereas sea areas are associated with relatively lower magnitudes (~300 m), as expected. However, land areas have shown significant variations on daily basis. For instance, on 03 July 2018 higher magnitudes are associated with ABLH over Gadanki and nearby locations than the other remaining two days (01 and 02 July 2018), which could be due to greater sensible heat flux [35]. Of particular interest is the moderate similarity of ABLH magnitudes between RO measured and ECMWF predicted.

4. CONCLUSION

The present research considers database from various remote instruments as well as from a famous model. Three various analytical methods were adopted to determine ABL height, whereas most of the earlier studies adhere to a single method or maximum two methods. Highresolution atmospheric measurements are very limited possibly due to costs involved and proper maintenance requirements, particularly in terms of calibration.

The results of this study are:

- a) Great similitude is observed in tropopause height as measured by high-resolution GPS radiosonde, COSMIC RO technique, and conventional radiosonde
- b) Extremely low winds prevailed below 10 km altitude, while relatively higher wind prevailed above 10 km altitude. Winds show north-west directions below 5 km and they turned to south-east directions above 5 km.
- c) Several approaches were used to calculate atmospheric boundary layer heights using RO-derived temperature profiles, and it was found that both gradient and double gradient could capture boundary layer heights effectively.
- d) Tropopause heights and their corresponding temperatures derived from

various remote sensing instruments that were highly similar in determining tropopause heights are presented.

e) It is therefore recommended that multiple databases are always useful, particularly in atmospheric studies.

Our future research will focus on proving the efficacy of other techniques, such as analytical, statistical, and wavelet-based, on the massive data sets from the ensuing RO mission and individual station databases from radiosonde instruments and micrometeorological towers.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The corresponding author Dr P. S. Brahmanandam expresses his sincere thanks to the management of SVECW, Bhimavaram, India for their logistic support. Thanks are due to NARL, Gadanki for their high-resolution GPS radiosonde data. COSMIC temperature data obtained from the COSMIC Data Analysis and Archive Centre (CDAAC) and ECMWF data obtained from https://cds.climate.copernicus.eu

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Beyrich F. Mixing height estimation from sodar data – A critical discussion. Atmospheric Environment. 1997;31(23): 3941-3953.
- Seibert P, Beyrich F, Gryning SE, Joffre S, Rasmussen A, Tercier PH. Review and intercomparison of operational methods for the determination of the mixing height. Atmospheric Environment. 2000;34(7): 1001-1027.
- 3. Cohn SA, Angevine WM. Boundary layer height and Entrainment zone thickness measured by lidars and wind-profiling radars. Journal of Applied Meteorology and climatology. 2000;39(8):1233–1247.
- Molod A, Salmun H, Dempsey M. Estimating planetary boundary layer heights from NOAA profiler network wind profiler data. Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology. 2015;32(9):1545-1561.
- 5. Martucci G, Milroy C, O'Dowd CD. Detection of cloud-base height using Jenoptik CHM15K and Vaisala CL31

Ceilometers. Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology. 2010;27(2):305 – 318.

- Melbourne WG, Davis ES, Duncan CB, Haji GA, Hardy KR, Kursinski ER, Meehan TK, Young LE, Yunck TP. The application of space-borne GPS to atmospheric limb sounding and global change monitoring. JPL Publ. 94-18, NASA; 1994.
- Wickert J, Reigber C, Beyerle G, K"onig R, Marquardt C, Schmidt T, Grunwaldt L, Galas R, Meehan TK, Melbourne WG, Hocke K. Atmosphere sounding by GPS radio occultation: First results from CHAMP, Geophys. Res. Letters. 2001;29:1187.
- Hajj GA, Ao CO, Iijima BA, Kuang D, Kursinski ER, Mannucci AJ, Meehan TK, Romans LJ, de la Torre Juarez, Yunck TP. CHAMP and SAC-C atmospheric occultation results and intercomparisons. Journal of Geophysical Research. 2004; D06109:1-24.
- Anthes RA, Bernhardt PA, Chen Y, Cucurull L, Dymond KF, Ector D, Healy SB, Ho SP, Hunt DC, Kuo YH, Liu H, Manning K, McCormick C, Meehan TK, Randel WJ, Rocken C, Schreiner WS, Sokolovskiy SV, Syndergaard S, Thompson DC, Trenberth KE, Wee TK, Yen NL, Zenga Z. The COSMIC/FORMOSAT-3 mission. Bull. Amer. Meteorol. Society. 2008;89:313-333.
- Brahmanandam PS, Chu YH, Liu J. Observations of equatorial Kelvin wave modes in FORMOSAT-3/COSMIC GPS RO temperature profiles. Terrestrial Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences. 2010; 21(5):829–840.
- 11. Anthes RA. Exploring Earth's atmosphere with radio occultation: Contributions to weather, climate and space weather, Atmos. Meas. Tech. 2011;4:1077–1103.
- 12. Alexander SP, Tsuda T, Kawatani Y, Takahashi M. Global distribution of atmospheric waves in the equatorial upper troposphere and lower stratosphere: COSMIC observations of wave mean flow interactions. J. Geophys. Res. 2008;113: D24115.
- Brahmanandam PS, Chu YH, Liu J. Observations of equatorial Kelvin wave modes in FORMOSAT-3/COSMIC GPS RO temperature profiles. Terrestrial Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences. 2010; 21(5):829–840.
- 14. Brahmanandam PS, Chu YH, Wu KH, Hsia HP, Su CL, Uma G. Vertical and longitudinal electron density structures of

equatorial E- and F-regions. Annales Geophysicae. 2011;29:81–89.

- Chu YH, Brahmanandam PS, Wang CY, Su CL, Kuong RM. Coordinated sporadic E layer observations made with Chung-Li 30 MHz radar, ionosonde and FORMOSAT-3/COSMIC satellites. Journal of Atmospheric and Terrestrial Physics. 2011; 73(9):883–894.
- Brahmanandam PS, Chu YH, Uma G, Hsia HP, Wu KH. A global comparative study on the ionospheric measurements between COSMIC radio occultation technique and IRI model. Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres. 2011;116(A2): A02310.
- Brahmanandam PS, Uma G, Liu JY, Chu YH, Latha Devi NSMP, Kakinami Y. Global S4 index variations observed using FORMOSAT-3/COSMIC GPS RO technique during a solar minimum year. Journal of Geophysical Research. 2012; 117:A09322 1-31.
- 18. Uma G, Liu JY, Chen SP, Sun YY, Brahmanandam PS, Lin CH. A comparison of the equatorial spread F derived by the international reference ionosphere and the S_4 index observed by FORMOSAT-3/COSMIC during the solar minimum period of 2007–2009. Earth Planets and Space. 2012;64:467–471.
- 19. Uma G, Brahmanandam PS, Srinivasu VKD, Prasad DSVVD, Sai Gowtam V, Tulasi Ram S, Chu YH. Ionospheric responses to the 21 August 2017 great American solar eclipse A multi-instrument study. J Advances in Space Research. 2020;65(1):74–85.
- 20. World Meteorological Organization. Commission for Maritime Meteorology. The Beaufort scale of Wind Force: (Technical and Operational Aspects). Geneva: WMO; 1970.
- 21. Anisetty SKAVP, Brahmanandam PS, Uma G, et al. Planetary-scale wave structures of the earth's atmosphere revealed from the COSMIC observations. J Meteorol. Res. 2014;28:281–295.
- 22. Kishore P, Namboothiri SP, Jiang JH, Sivakumar V, Igarashi K. Global temperature estimates in the troposphere and stratosphere: A validation study of COSMIC/FORMOSAT-3 measurements. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 2009;9:897–908.
- 23. Sun BM, Reale A, Seidel DJ, et al. Comparing radiosonde and COSMIC atmospheric profile data to quantify

differences among radiosonde types and the effects of imperfect collocation on comparison statistics. J. Geophys. Res., 2010;115:684 D23104.

- 24. Zhang K, Fu E, Silcock D, et al. An investigation of atmospheric temperature profiles in the Australian region using collocated GPS radio occultation and radiosonde data. Atmos. Meas. Tech. 2011; 4:2087–2092.
- 25. Stull RB. An introduction to boundary layer meteorology. Kluwer Academic Publishers: Germany; 1988.
- Betts AK, Ball JH. Budget analysis of FIFE 1987 sonde data. Journal of Geophysical Research. 1994;99(2):3655–3666.
- Margulis SA, Entekhabi D. Boundary-layer entrainment estimation through assimilation of radiosonde and micrometeorological data into a mixed-layer model. Boundary-Layer Meteorology. 2004;110(3):405-433.
- Wentz FJ, Meissner T. Atmospheric absorption model for dry air and water vapor at microwave frequencies below 100 GHz derived from space-borne radiometer observations. Remote Sensing Systems. 2015;51:381–391.
- 29. Coulter RL. A comparison of three methods for measuring mixing-layer height. J. Appl. Meteorol. 1979;18:1495-1499.
- 30. Arakawa A, Schubert WH. Interaction of a cumulus cloud ensemble with the large-

scale environment, part I. J. Atmos. Sci. 1974;31:674–701.

- 31. Suarez MJ, Arakawa A, Randall DA. The parameterization of the planetary boundary layer in the UCLA general circulation model: Formulation and results. Mon. Weather Rev.1983;111:2224.
- Wesely ML, Cook DR, Hart RL, Speer RE. Measurements and parameterization of particulate sulfur dry deposition over grass. Journal of Geophysical Research. 1985;90: 213.
- 33. Naveen Kumar V, Brahmanandam PS, Purnachandra Rao M, Anil Kumar G, Samatha K, Rupa Dhanasri L. A study of Atmospheric Boundary Layer (ABL) height estimation using various analytical methods - COSMIC RO Measured Temperature Profiles, Indian J Science and Technology. 2018;11(31):1-12.
- 34. Helmis CG, et al. A comparative study and evaluation of mixing-height estimation based on sodar-RASS, ceilometer data and numerical model simulations. Boundary-Layer Meteorology. 2012;145(3): 507.
- Brahmanandam PS, Kumar VN, Kumar GA, et al. A few important features of global atmospheric boundary layer heights estimated using COSMIC radio occultation retrieved data, Indian J Physics. 2020;94: 555–563.

© 2021 Sridevi et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/83959